

**STATE OF ILLINOIS
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION**

Illinois Commerce Commission,)	
On Its Own Motion)	
)	Docket No. 07-0483
Development of net metering standards)	
required by P.A. 95-0420.)	

Brief on Exceptions of the People of the State of Illinois

The People of the State of Illinois (the “People”), by and through Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, hereby file this Brief on Exceptions pursuant to the ruling issued by the Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) on February 7, 2008. The purpose of this brief is to seek clarification of the portion of the ALJ’s Proposed Order that discusses Section 465.50(b)(1)(i) of the proposed Rule. That Section of the proposed Rule relates to billing for non-residential customers with generators over 40 kW that do not take service under a time of use rate.

The Proposed Order adopts the following language in Section 465.50(b)(1)(i) of the proposed Rule:

- 1) Customers taking service under rates other than time of use rates
 - i) The electric utility shall determine whether the customer is a net purchaser of electricity or a net seller of electricity during the billing period. If the customer is a net purchaser of electricity during the billing period, the electricity provider shall apply the applicable tariffed or contract rate, as applicable, to the net amount purchased. If the customer is a net seller of electricity, the electricity provider shall compensate the customer at the electricity provider’s avoided cost of electricity supply, multiplied by the net amount of electricity sold to the electricity provider. For purposes of calculating the customer’s electricity bill, any credits may be used to offset other charges assessed by the electricity provider.

The analysis in the Proposed Order indicates that the ALJ has rejected language proposed for this Section by the Environmental Law & Policy Center (“ELPC”) and language proposed by the Office of the Attorney General on behalf of the People. The Proposed Order says that it rejected the language proposed by ELPC and the AG because “[t]his language creates unnecessary confusion and it does not address the situation described by ComEd, where it is not possible to determine what cost is avoided.” (Proposed Order at 8.)

While it is true that the language proposed for this Section in ELPC’s Initial Comments has been rejected, the proposed Rule attached to the Order includes the following phrase – which was proposed by the Office of the Attorney General:

“multiplied by the net amount of electricity sold to the electricity provider.” Including this phrase in the proposed Rule avoids confusion, by making the language in this Section consistent with the language in the previous Section of the proposed Rule. This phrase is also completely consistent with ComEd’s position.¹

The text of the Proposed Order should be revised as follows to explain the choice of language in the proposed Rule that is attached to the Proposed Order:

Section 465.50(b)(1)(i) Billing for Non-Residential Customers with Generators Over 40 kW that Take Service Under Rates Other than Time of Use Rates.

Section 465.50(b)(1)(i) provides that, for customers with generators over 40 kW that do not take service under a time of use rate, in any month in which a customer/supplier is a net seller of electricity, an electricity supplier shall compensate a customer at the electricity supplier’s avoided cost of electricity, multiplied by the net

¹ The People’s Reply Comments did not distinguish between the language proposed by the People and the language proposed by ELPC. However, a re-examination of the language in light of the ALJ’s decision to include the People’s language in the proposed Rule, highlights important differences between the People’s proposed language and the language proposed by ELPC.

amount of electricity sold to the electricity supplier. When a customer/supplier is a net purchaser, the electricity provider must charge customer/supplier the tariffed or contractual rate.

The ~~AG and the~~ ELPC proffered language intended to clarify that the avoided cost rate mentioned in the Rule applies only to excess kilowatt-hour credits that a generating customer receives in any given month, but not to any electricity that is “netted out.” The ELPC provides an example whereby if a facility receives 100 units of power from the grid and supplies 120 units to the grid in any given month, the first 100 units would “net out” and the customer/supplier would received an avoided cost rate for the excess 20 units. (ELPC Comments at 4; ~~AG Comments at 2-3~~). The ELPC asserts that charging at the tariffed rate and compensating at the avoided cost rate is not true “net metering.” (ELPC Reply Comments at 2).

Staff, however, avers that this recommendation is not workable for the utilities that have tariffs, in which, there are multiple costs that are avoided. It is not clear, Staff continues, which avoided cost should be applied to the excess kilowatt-hours generated. Staff contends that adoption of the language proffered by these entities would, in all likelihood, create new problems, such as unnecessary changes to existing tariffs. (Staff Comments at 3-4).

ComEd maintains that it has two tariffed avoided cost rate structures. Because there is no way to determine when (what time of day) a net metering customer’s generation takes place, ComEd cannot determine, with certainty, what cost is avoided. ComEd’s solution to this dilemma is to compensate a net metering customer for all generation put onto the grid at rates approximate to the times that the customer’s generation took place. It asserts that this generation is excess, meaning that it is only introduced onto the grid if it exceeds a customer’s usage at any point in time. (ComEd Reply Comments at 2-3).

The AG offers language that addresses some of the issues raised in the ELPC Comments. (AG Comments at 2-3.) The AG argues that the phrase “multiplied by the net amount of electricity sold to the electricity provider” should be added to make Section 465.50(b)(1)(i) consistent with the language in the previous Section of the proposed Rule. The AG states that this language makes will ensure that the net seller will be compensated at “the electricity provider’s avoided cost[] for the net amount of electricity sold to the electricity provider.” (AG Comments at 3.)

Analysis and Conclusions

We decline to adopt the language offered by ~~the AG and the language offered by~~ the ELPC. This language creates unnecessary confusion and it does not address the situation described by ComEd, where it is not possible to determine what cost is avoided. Moreover, the ELPC offers no evidence establishing that “true” net metering requires electricity providers to bill in the manner it describes. However, we adopt the language offered by the AG, which addresses some of the issues raised in the ELPC Comments, without creating the problem described by Staff and ComEd. The AG’s language makes clear that the electricity provider is to apply the appropriate avoided cost for the time period when electricity that exceeds a customer’s usage is introduced onto the grid.

WHEREFORE, for the above-stated reasons, the People respectfully request that the rule included in the Proposed Order be modified as described herein.

Respectfully submitted,

The People of the State of Illinois
by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General

Susan Hedman
Environmental Counsel

Kristin Munsch
Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Illinois Attorney General
100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: (312) 814-7203
Fax: (312) 814-3212
E-Mail: shedman@atg.state.il.us
kmunsch@atg.state.il.us

Dated: February 26, 2008

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

NOTICE OF FILING

SERVICE LIST – DOCKET NO. 07-0483

Melanie Acord
MidAmerican Energy Company
PO Box 4350
Davenport IA 52808-4350

Garrett E Bissell
Integrays Energy Services, Inc.
5 Computer Dr., Ste. 204
Albany NY 12205

Michael R Borovik
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle St., Ste. C-800
Chicago IL 60601

Janice A Dale
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Bureau
100 W. Randolph St., 11th Fl.
Chicago IL 60601

Jay H Dillavou
MidAmerican Energy Company
PO Box 657
666 Grand Ave.
Des Moines IA 50303

Erika Dominick
Paralegal
Ameren Services Company
1901 Chouteau Ave.
PO Box 66149
St. Louis MO 63166-6149

Joseph E Donovan
Atty. for Coalition of Energy Suppliers
DLA Piper US LLP
203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 1900
Chicago IL 60101-1293

Laura M Earl
Atty. for Ameren Illinois Utilities
Jones Day
77 W. Wacker
Chicago IL 60601-1692

David I Fein
Vice President
Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
550 W. Washington Blvd., Ste. 300
Chicago IL 60661

Edward C Fitzhenry
Ameren Services Company
PO Box 66149 (M/C 1310)
1901 Chouteau Ave.
St. Louis MO 63166-6149

Christopher W Flynn
Atty. for Ameren Illinois Utilities
Jones Day
77 W. Wacker, Ste. 3500
Chicago IL 60601-1692

Cynthia A Fonner
Senior Counsel
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
550 W. Washington St., Ste. 300
Chicago IL 60661

David Geraghty
Commonwealth Edison Company
3 Lincoln Centre
Oak Brook IL 60521

John Gomoll
Direct Energy Services, LLC
1111 W. 22nd St., 8th Fl.
Oak Brook IL 60523

Jeff Hart
MidAmerican Energy Company
PO Box 4350
Davenport IA 52808-4350

Robert Kelter
Environmental Law & Policy Center
35 E. Wacker Dr., Ste. 1300
Chicago IL 60601

Karen M Huizenga
Senior Attorney
MidAmerican Energy Company
106 E. Second St.
PO Box 4350
Davenport IA 52808

Michael J Lannon
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle, Suite C-800
Chicago IL 60601

Bradley D Klein
Environmental Law & Policy Center
35 E. Wacker Dr., Ste. 1300
Chicago IL 60601

Carla S Meiners
MidAmerican Energy Company
PO Box 657
666 Grand Ave.
Des Moines IA 50303-0657

Barry Matchett
Environmental Law & Policy Center
35 E. Wacker Dr., Ste. 1300
Chicago IL 60601

Stephen J Moore
Atty. for Retail Energy Supply Association
Rowland & Moore LLP
200 W. Superior St., Ste. 400
Chicago IL 60610

John N Moore
Environmental Law and Policy Center
Suite 1300
35 E. Wacker Drive
Chicago IL 60601

Vu Nguyen
MidAmerican Energy Company
PO Box 657
666 Grand Ave.
Des Moines IA 50303

Susan Hedman
Senior Asst. Attorney General
Illinois Attorney General's Office
100 W. Randolph St., 11th Floor
Chicago IL 60601

Erica Randall
Paralegal
Office of the Illinois Attorney General
100 W. Randolph St., 11th Fl.
Chicago IL 60601

Michael S Pabian
Atty. for Commonwealth Edison Company
10 S. Dearborn St., 49th FL
Chicago IL 60603

Thomas Rowland
Atty. for Retail Energy Supply Association
Rowland & Moore LLP
200 W. Superior St., Ste. 400
Chicago IL 60610

Kevin D Rhoda
Atty. for Retail Energy Supply Association
Rowland & Moore LLP
200 W. Superior St., Ste. 400
Chicago IL 60610

Eric Schlaf
Case Manager
Illinois Commerce Commission
527 E. Capitol Ave.
Springfield IL 62701

Claudia Sainsot
Administrative Law Judge
Illinois Commerce Commission
160 N. LaSalle St., Ste. C-800
Chicago IL 60601-3104

Suzan M Stewart
Managing Senior Attorney
PO Box 778
401 Douglas St.
Sioux City IA 51102

Christopher N Skey
Atty. for Coalition of Energy Suppliers
DLA Piper US LLP
203 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1900
Chicago IL 60601-1293

Christopher J Townsend
Atty. for Coalition of Energy Suppliers
DLA Piper US LLP
203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 1500
Chicago IL 60601-1293

Matthew R Tomc
Ameren Services Company
PO Box 66149, MC 1310
1901 Chouteau Ave.
St. Louis MO 63166

Jennifer Witt
Integrays Energy Services, Inc.
500 W. Madison St., Ste. 3300
Chicago IL 60661